

41 video with council members. Thanked Todd Beutler and Charise Van Dyke for doing
42 a great job on the celebration.

- 43
- 44 8. Discuss governance and the potential use of surveys – Ron Natali: Sallie Petersen
45 arrived during this portion of the meeting at 06:04 p.m. Met with governance
46 committee last Tuesday, asked the room how they feel about using Survey Monkey
47 and completing the surveys to go over policy. Described the purpose of using the
48 surveys and how the results are used; The purpose is that when we look at the results
49 if a policy has three items and everyone agrees on two then we need to look at that
50 policy and work on the third item. The survey consisted of five questions with most
51 board members liking the surveys. Each question also had room for comments, which
52 Ron would prefer the member use if they have further questions. Ron then posed the
53 question can we still use Survey Monkey as a tool. Ron further explained that the
54 survey is a blind survey meaning that he cannot see which member's enter the survey
55 or who answered how. The survey just gives a percentage of yes and no. Roger Pond
56 asked if there were any negative comments about using survey monkey Ron said no.
57 Dean Quayle had a concern that it was not clear enough how you can discuss a topic
58 further. There was a suggestion made about adding a 6th question where people can
59 ask for a chance to discuss the topic further. Craig Wright asked how many responses
60 are needed to continue or approve a survey question. Shaun Bushman asked if people
61 do not respond then could we say they do not care? Ron suggested we need at least
62 fifty percent response. Curtis explained that the survey is more to establish which
63 topics need to be discussed at Board Meeting. We still need to motion and accept the
64 policy so the surveys are just a guide. Shaun Bushman further suggested that there
65 should be a pdf included with each email offering a survey about policy, which
66 explains why it is so important to complete the surveys, citing that sometimes we
67 forget or get distracted. Ron Natlai asked that if you stop in the middle of a survey
68 then when you return you start all over because the survey will automatically clear
69 after so long and then only the part you filled out when you came back will show. For
70 now, Ron Natali will ask that Todd Beutler stop sending more policy to give the
71 board more time to complete the open surveys and focus on the two policies already
72 presented to the Board.

- 73
- 74 9. Discuss the Short Range Transit Plan next steps – Curtis Roberts: This is not a doing
75 document this a planning document. The Board now needs to look at whether there is
76 any part of this document they would like to implement. The Board needs to give the
77 direction on where they would like to see CVTD go and then CVTD will develop
78 scenarios that meet the Board's direction. The STRP will be worked on over the next
79 few months. Ron Natali asked, so we will be suggesting policy and that will help us
80 move in the direction needed for the SRTP. The short answer is yes. Through policy
81 and board discussion we can figure out where CVTD needs to head and what options

82 we need to look at concerning service. Management at CVTD have received some
83 grant funding from CMPO to use a database to project how a change will affect the
84 citizens and communities of Cache Valley. This database will help explain why one
85 idea or plan would be better than another would.
86

87 10. Discuss additional funding – Curtis Roberts CVTD Director of Administration: State
88 Legislature has created a task force that has been looking into a variety of things
89 associated with transportation. After monitoring the gas tax, it has been evidenced
90 that it is on a steady decline. This means less money going out throughout the state
91 for roads and transportation. This task force is looking at alternative ways of coming
92 up with funding and addressing transportation needs. Ideas have been to use high
93 occupancy lanes, tolls roads, etc. There will be documents going out to the cities that
94 discuss these concepts. We will be monitoring this and continually discussing this as
95 the information becomes available. There are no decisions made by this task force,
96 only research. The question was asked why not just build new roads to accommodate
97 future traffic issues. The consensus from the task force has been that it would be too
98 expensive to build enough freeway to accommodate Utah’s future growth.
99

100 11. **Adjourn:** Acting Board Chair Holly Broome-Hyer asked for a motion to adjourn.